UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/990,611 | 11/21/2001 | Lorraine Faxon Meisner | 36091-701.302 | 4194 | |
| | 7590 07/03/200 SINI GOODRICH & F | | EXAMINER | | |
| 650 PAGE MIL | | CHOI, FRANK I | | | |
| PALO ALTO, (| A 94504-1050 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1616 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/03/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 09/990,611 | MEISNER, LORF FAXON Art Unit RANK I. CHOI 1616 sonnel): (3) (4) applicant's representative] No. 517,276). was not reached. h) \ N/A. wre of what was agreed to if an agreement be indicated that an RCE would be filed amounted that the description of the proposed wild not be made until the reply/amendment of the amendments that would render the amendments that would render the solution of the solution | RAINE | | | | |
| · | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | FRANK I. CHOI | 1616 | | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>FRANK I. CHOI</u> . | (3) | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Esther Kepplinger</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>27 June 2008</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3-8,10-12,15-18,21,23-25 and 27-31</u> | • | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bassford et al. (US Pat. 2,517,276)</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <i>The applicant's representative indicated that an RCE would be filed amending the claims to set forth the pretreatment process. The examiner indicated that the description of the proposed amendment may overcome Bassford et al. but that a final determination could not be made until the reply/amendment is filed.</i> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims | | | | | | | |
| allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | /Johann Richter/ Examiner's signature, if require | red | | | | | |
| | =/.c.imioi o dignataro, ii roquii | | | | | | |